

March 14, 1975

are locking ourselves in to a pretty tight straight jacket as far as what we are going to do on this floor and I think we did that earlier when Senator Warner, and I am kind of surprised that he'd get an amendment this far out of line, since he is so scrupulous of my amendments but I think in fairness it should go back and all the rest of them should go back but we are going to severely limit the kind of legislation that we are going to pass this year. One of my amendments was, in effect, a kill motion on a bill and was sent back for another public hearing which, if we are going to send kill motions back for public hearing, we certainly ought to send an amendment when a bill is introduced for one agency and then is amended to another agency, that should go back. But you have got to look at the long haul here and I think that we are not going to have many appropriate amendments if we keep applying these standards. Maybe we should go back to the old days and be courteous to each other and know what we can handle and what we can't handle but it might be too late for that.

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Well, Mr. President, I think the one thing, to stray even further from the subject, that Senator Cavanaugh's remarks demonstrate is the inflexibility that the Legislature is stuck with, with the limitation of ten bills to introduce because the only way you can take...resolve some of these issues is to put them together and that is really the essential problem that is back of this whole thing. As far as going back to Committee, I have no objection on the basis of one thing and that is that the Cavanaugh concept of restricting the practice of attorneys employed by the state is expanded to all departments. I think it is a legitimate question. It's an extensive question. It has lots of ramifications but I can see no reason to select the Attorney General's office only and I support his amendment with the thought in mind that it should be expanded, in particularly to the Legislature, and so with those thoughts, I am willing to support Senator Syas amendment to send the bill back to the Committee with the knowledge of the Committee that I will also go in and suggest that the bill be expanded...that the Cavanaugh motion be expanded to all attorneys.

PRESIDENT: Senator Rasmussen.

SENATOR RASMUSSEN: Mr. President, members of the body, I wonder if Senator Warner would yield to a question. Senator Warner, we heard this bill in our Committee and now you come in with this amendment that certainly changes it drastically. Why didn't you either make this part of the bill, as long as you were taking the time to introduce it anyway, and come in at a later date like this to bring it along.

SENATOR WARNER: Well, Senator Rasmussen, I did not have the amendment at the time of the Committee hearing but, secondly, the amendment that I offered, in my opinion, is not that significant. It certainly would not have required a hearing but the adoption of the Cavanaugh amendment to the bill is a significant policy that probably deserves more exposure.